

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,921	02/12/2002	Sheng Hsin Liao	MR2349-792	3782
4586 7	590 07/14/2003			
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			EXAMINER	
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		ΓE 101	BERHANE, ADOLF D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/072,921	LIAO, SHENG HSIN			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Adolf Berhane	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 6/9/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applions) a timely filed amendment who all (with appeal fee); or (3) a times.	cation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a). they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel	)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 14-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		•			
10. Other:	,	1 200			
	One	How VKald.			
		Adolf Berhane			
Patent and Trademark Office		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2838			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the elements and the teaching are both shown in the prior art and arguments are not persuasive.